



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,996	02/05/2007	Michiel T. Kreutzer	63611A	6871
109	7590	06/09/2010	EXAMINER	
The Dow Chemical Company			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE	
P.O. BOX 1967				
Midland, MI 48641			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,996	KREUTZER ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after final

(1) SUSAN HANLEY.

(3) ____.

(2) Kevin Nilsen.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2010

Time: 3:00

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 with Shiraishi

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Shiraishi

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Susan Hanley/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex. Hanley informed Mr. Nilsen that although claim 1 was amended, new text was not underlined. Ex. Hanley stated that the amendment would be entered anyway and that prosecution would be reopened since Shiraishi teaches the claimed range for aspect ratio.